

# Orville Wright Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

#### Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

**DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

**Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

**Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)**

School Contact Information	
School Name	Orville Wright Elementary School
Street	1602 Monterey Street
City, State, Zip	Modesto, CA 95354-4298
Phone Number	(209) 574-8462
Principal	Ernesto Calderon
E-mail Address	calderon.e@monet.k12.ca.us
Web Site	<a href="https://wright.mcs4kids.com/">https://wright.mcs4kids.com/</a>
CDS Code	50711676052781

<b>District Contact Information</b>	
<b>District Name</b>	Modesto City Elementary School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(209) 574-1500
<b>Superintendent</b>	Pam Able
<b>E-mail Address</b>	fortuna.b@monet.k12.ca.us
<b>Web Site</b>	www.mcs4kids.com

### **School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)**

During the 2015-16 school year, Orville Wright continued to use the McMillan ELA adoption with Reading Intervention support and Universal Access in all classrooms. ELD was taught for 30 minutes daily using the McMillan ELD adoption for English Learners. Our school continued to fully implement Engage New York for our Math program to meet Common Core State Standards. We continued to monitor our growth in ELA, ELD, Math and writing. DIBELS reading assessments were used in grades K-1 and 3 to monitor student progress. Our school vision is to nurture lifelong learners in a safe, supportive, and engaging environment which promotes rigorous academic achievement and fosters social development for personal success.

Orville Wright remains committed to strengthen the character of every student through the promotion of the Modesto City Schools Character Education program, PeaceBuilder program, and continued implementation of a Positive Behavior Intervention System to teach students essential skills for civility on a daily basis. The site PBIS team will continue to monitor discipline data, help establish goals and expectations for each area of the campus, and praise students along with incentives to encourage and reinforce positive behaviors. Additionally, Orville Wright staff began training in Restorative Practices and will continue the training into the 2016-17 school year.

Orville Wright is in year five plus as a Program Improvement School and we continue to look for areas to improve and strengthen our students' academic skills. Orville Wright students and families were fortunate to be a part of several wonderful programs. We offered instrumental music and chorus. The Traffic Patrol took pride in helping our students safely cross the street to attend and leave school. Our Healthy Start program staff continued to work hard to meet the needs of our student population including case management for housing, educational and medical concerns, parenting classes, transportation, counseling, food and clothing. The Wright Start Family Learning Center is used to provide valuable classes for our parents and community members. Healthy Start staff provides ESL classes for parents. The Healthy Start Administrator and Principal continue to lead the Airport Neighborhood Collaborative to coordinate services between service agencies, law enforcement and the school. Orville Wright continued to have successful Head Start and State Preschool programs.

Parents continued to be encouraged to contact the school for more detailed information about their child's educational programs at (209) 574-8462 between the hours of 7:30 AM and 4:00 PM.

### **Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)**

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Number of Students</b>
<b>Kindergarten</b>	48
<b>Grade 1</b>	59
<b>Grade 2</b>	47
<b>Grade 3</b>	48
<b>Grade 4</b>	61
<b>Grade 5</b>	66
<b>Grade 6</b>	64
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	393

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	1
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	80.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	12.2
Two or More Races	3.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	99.7
English Learners	48.1
Students with Disabilities	19.3
Foster Youth	0.8

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	12	22	25	858
Without Full Credential	2	1	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	World of Wonders, Maravillas, Wonders, Wonder Works, 2017	Yes	0
Mathematics	World of Wonders, Early Learning Math – ELM, Engage NY, 2017	Yes	0
Science	McGraw-Hill Science, 2008	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2007	Yes	0

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

#### School Facility Conditions -- General Information

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are included within this report. The results of this survey are available at the district office and on the internet at [mcs4kids.com](http://mcs4kids.com).

Orville Wright provides a safe, clean and adequate facilities for our students and staff. Updates and improvements are monitored and recommended to District Maintenance by our site Head Custodian.

Below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

#### Age of School/Buildings:

This school has 30 classrooms, a library, multipurpose room, head-start, family learning center and an administration office. The main campus was built in 1948. Additions were constructed in 1985 and in 1989. Sixteen portable classrooms were constructed over the periods of 1985, 1986, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1996, 1997, 1999, and 2000. The school opened in 1948.

#### Maintenance and Repair:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The school facility is in overall good repair.

#### Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards are available at the school office, or at the district office. The Principal works continually with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

#### Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participated in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provided flexible state matching funds to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school facilities components. State contributions to this Program ended in the 2012-13 school year. Deferred Maintenance typically includes paving, roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting and floor systems. For the 2016-17 school year, the district budgeted \$0.69 million out of the District General Fund carry-over funds for deferred maintenance projects throughout the District. The District also has 1.28 million of carry-over funds in Fund 14 Deferred Maintenance Fund available for deferred maintenance projects throughout the District. Identified needed repairs are weighed against all other District site repairs and the most urgent repairs are addressed first.

Data provided by LEA. Additional information about the condition of the school's facilities may be obtained by speaking with the school principal.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/5/17				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical		X		Clocks, fire alarm system
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/5/17				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	14	18	27	28	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	9	7	17	19	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	254	247	97.24	17.81
Male	126	121	96.03	13.22
Female	128	126	98.44	22.22
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	198	194	97.98	19.59
White	36	34	94.44	5.88
Two or More Races	11	11	100	9.09
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	251	244	97.21	18.03
English Learners	129	127	98.45	24.41
Students with Disabilities	69	67	97.1	2.99
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	11	11	100	27.27
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group  
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	254	246	96.85	6.5
Male	126	120	95.24	3.33
Female	128	126	98.44	9.52
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	198	193	97.47	8.29
White	36	34	94.44	0
Two or More Races	11	11	100	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	251	243	96.81	6.58
English Learners	132	131	99.24	9.16
Students with Disabilities	70	66	94.29	1.52
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	11	11	100	9.09
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students  
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	9	10	45	41	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	19.4	18.1	6.9

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents are able to participate and are encouraged to be involved with the school through a variety of options. ELPP, SSC, Parent Involvement and Participation, and Parent Café meetings are held regularly. Agendas are posted on the school's front windows and times and dates are on the school marquee. Parents are encouraged and welcome to volunteer or visit classrooms. Parent conferences are held school wide twice during the year and as needed. Numerous classes were offered through the Healthy Start Family Resource Center to help parents learn how to support their students. Student Study Team meetings are held for students where there are significant concerns regarding student success. Home visits are conducted for concerns where we are unable to reach the parent for a school meeting. Case management is offered to families who are experiencing severe needs. The Facebook page announces school events and celebrations to help parents feel connected. A school health faire is held annually to connect families to resources, provide flu shots, and free dental or health screenings. For more information, contact:

Vicki Kyte, Principal 574-8462

Veronica McCabe, Vice Principal 574-8462

Armando Nunez, Healthy Start Program Administrator 574-1646

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	11.6	10.6	12.6	4.6	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1



## School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The Orville Wright School Safety Plan, approved September 2016, includes the following components: Safe and Secure Learning Environment, Crisis Procedures, Dress Codes, Emergency Action Plan, Safe School Project, Crime Reporting, Attendance Plan and Procedures, and District Preparedness and Procedures. The staff and Safety Committee review the plan annually. Safety Committee meetings are held five times per year with staff and at least twice a year with the parent Safety Committee. Fire Drills are held monthly. Lockdown drills are completed within the first trimester of school and at least one other time annually. Procedures are reviewed yearly including a debriefing after each drill.

Orville Wright School makes safety a priority by providing morning supervision in our cafeteria and playground from 7:45-8:30 daily. During the school day, the Campus Assistant is on duty from 7:45-3:15. Yard Duty are on campus during all recesses, lunchtime, and at dismissal. Kindergarten students are released to their parents by the teacher at dismissal. After school, 1-6 grade students are dismissed from campus through the main gates. The school Traffic Patrol is comprised of 4th- 6th graders, trained and supervised by a certificated teacher. They are on duty before and after school to assist students with crossing the street safely.

All gates are locked daily at 8:40 am and unlocked at 2:15pm. Visitors arriving between those hours must enter through the main door and report to the office to sign in (stating time and purpose of visit and receive a visitor's tag, which is dated by the office staff). Upon departure, visitors are required to sign out. As an After School Program Site, our campus remains closed to the public until 5:30pm daily. Anyone on campus without purpose is asked to leave by Site Staff or Program administrator.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2004-2005	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	19
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	79.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	19	3			24		2		24		2	
1	19	3			19	3			20	3		
2	18	3			24		2		24		2	
3	20	3			24		2		24		2	
4	19	3			26		2		20	1	2	
5	25		2		29		2		20	1	2	
6	25		2		18	1	2		18	2	2	

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)**

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.4	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$13,080.83	\$2,973.72	\$10,107.11	\$89,842.32
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,660.17	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	15.4	3.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	42.4	13.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)**

The Modesto City Schools Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) provides detailed information on actions, services, and expenditures that support and assist students. Actions, services, and expenditures funded through the 2016-17 LCAP can be found here: <https://www.mcs4kids.com/img/files/2016-2017%20Board%20Approved%20LCAP%20Plan.pdf>. The most recent LCAP can be found here: <https://www.mcs4kids.com/documents/lcff/2017-2018/2017-2018%20LCAP%20Board%20Approved%206-19-17.pdf>. While the LCAP is designed to support the educational progress of all students, there are specific actions and services principally directed toward meeting the needs of our unduplicated students in closing the achievement gap.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$56,836	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$87,050	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$105,363	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$116,003	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$122,575	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$138,584	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$250,766	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

---

14-15:

Effective Instructional Strategies and Curriculum & Lesson Development – 3 Days  
Common Core Standards Based Instruction for K-6 Educators – 1 Day  
Next Generation Science Standards PD for 7-12 Educators – 2 days (optional)  
Big Idea Workshop Series – Optional after school PD for K-6 Educators  
Lesson Study for Math and Science Educators – 2 Days (optional)  
Positive Behavior Intervention and Support – 2 Days  
College and Career Guidance with Naviance for Counselors and Work Experience Coordinators – 1 Day  
Effective Classroom Management – 2 Days (optional)  
Restorative Justice – 4 Days  
Chemical Safety Training – 1 Day  
Teaching with Poverty in Mind – ½ Day (optional)  
Anita Archer – K-6 Literacy Skills – 2 Days (optional)  
Frank Smith – K-12 Academic Vocabulary – ½ Day (optional)  
SS 7-12 DBQ / UC Davis History Project 2 days  
ELA 7-12 Effective Instructional Practices/ELA/ELD literacy 2 days  
7-12 Electives Literacy for content areas  
Read 180 training 2 days

15-16:

Leverage Learning – PLC Leadership Teams – 5 Days (leadership teams)  
Anita Archer K-8 Writing and Literacy – 3 Days (optional)  
Step Up to Writing K-6 – 1 Day (optional)  
Number Talks K-6 – 1 Day (optional)  
Mindset Works 7-12 – 1 Day  
Utah Math 7-8 – 1 Day  
Math Vision Project 9-12 – 1 Day; additional optional PD Days through SCOE  
NGSS: Modeling in Science/Science and Engineering Practices 7-12 – 2 Days

17-18

Professional Learning Community Conference  
Leverage Learning – PLC Leadership Teams  
Restorative Practices  
Luis Cruz – Transforming School Culture  
AVID summer institute  
Positive Behavior Intervention and Support (PBIS)  
Restorative Practices  
Math Lesson Design and Assessments 7-8  
NGSS Science Implementation 7-8  
Math Vision Project (MVP) Curriculum 9-12  
NGSS Science Implementation 9-12  
Math Vision Project (MVP) Lesson planning and Instructional practices 9-12  
Science Safety Training.  
Anita Archer – Effective Instructional Practices  
College and Career Four-Year Planning  
Kate Kinsella – Essential Instructional Routines / Designated & Integrated ELD  
Designated ELD Instruction within Wonders Curriculum  
Technology  
JW Pepper  
Best Practices and Overview of Dyslexia  
Effective Instruction for Severe Programs  
Direct Instruction in Moderate-Severe Programs  
Effective Assessment Practices and Reporting  
Identifying Assessment Tools  
Curriculum Implementation Support  
One-one Procedures and Guidelines

Standards Progression – Math I, II, III, Pre-Calculus  
Academic Discourse and Language Acquisition, Collaborative Conversations  
Math 180 Data Analysis  
Suicide Prevention  
2016 History Social Science Framework: An introduction to Content Shifts, Instructional Shifts, Access, and Equity  
Strategies for Formative Feedback in a Digital Classroom  
Schoolology  
CAG conference for GATE teachers  
ISTE conference for CLT teachers  
DBQ training for GATE  
Recorders for prep providers  
Read 180 Implementation  
Read 180 Follow-up  
AVID Excel Tutor Training  
AVID “10-2-2” Instructional Strategy  
AVID Focused Note-Taking  
Study Sync Curriculum Trainings  
AVID "WICORizing" a Lesson training  
AVID Excel Video Professional Learning Sessions  
EL Shadow Training  
ELPAC Training  
Gr TK-6 ELA Wonders Curriculum  
Gr K-2 Reading Mastery  
Gr 3-6 Corrective Reading  
Gr 6 Number Talks  
Gr 3-5 Fractions  
Gr 3-5 Genre Writing